

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,144	09/12/2003	Philip E. Neff	1745-000001	8735
27572	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	DICKEY & PIERCE, F	BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3679	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
<u> </u>	10/662,	144	NEFF, PHILIP E.	*		
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	,		
	Greg Bi	inda	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this community  Period for Reply	unication appears on t	he cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	iress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coil.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ending in the statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the ages after the mailing date of this ending date of this statute.	event, however, may a reply b latutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>25 January</u> 20	<u>005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is					
. •==	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·	•	•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 28-38</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,11,12</u> of the above claim(s) <u>7,11,12</u> of the allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10 and 28-32</u> is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8) □ Claim(s) <u>are subject to rest</u>	and 33-38 is/are witho	drawn from considera	ation.			
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 January Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	r 2005 is/are: a)⊠ ac jection to the drawing(s) ng the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priori  2. Certified copies of the priori  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat  * See the attached detailed Office act	ty documents have be ty documents have be s of the priority docur tional Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Appli nents have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National s	Stage ·		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			mary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>			ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO	-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/662,144 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a

prior Office action.

2. Applicant added new claims 28-38, but failed to indicate which of these claims are readable

on the elected species. See MPEP § 809.02(a).

Election/Restrictions

3. Newly submitted claims 34-38 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct

from the invention originally claimed. That is so because the inventions are related as

combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown

that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other

combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (see new claims 34-38)

as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination in the original claims because

it does not require a repair device so constructed that a hub and a shell are allowed to move

relative to each other. The subcombination has separate utility such as an original equipment

type (i.e. not a repair type) device.

4. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention,

this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the

merits. Accordingly, claims 34-38 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-

elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,144 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

5. Claims 7, 11, 12 & 33-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Applicant elected Group I, Species I, the repair device shown in Figs. 1 & 2, and

timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on September 23, 2004.

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 28 is objected to because it includes the term "improved'. The term is redundant because if the claimed invention has patentability utility as presumed, then it must be improved over the prior art.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "improved" in claim 28 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "improved" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. Claims 1-5, 8-10 & 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Churchill et al, US 5,033,433 (Churchill). Fig. 2 shows a repair device for a flexible drive

Art Unit: 3679

coupling having a shell and a hub, the repair device comprising: a first member 20 adapted to be fixed to the shell 22, the first member including a slot in a bifurcated end 36; and a second member 44 adapted to be fixed to the hub 16, a portion of the second member extending from the hub, the portion being slidably positioned within the slot, the first member being drivingly engagable with the second member to transfer torque between the shell and the hub while allowing the hub and shell to be movable relative to each other. Fig. 2 shows the first member 20 includes inner and outer arcuate walls 34 engaging an outer surface of the shell 22.

9. Claims 1-3, 8-10 & 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any one of Scott, US 1,277,491 and Cadnum, US 1,833,932.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Churchill. Churchill shows a repair device having all the limitations except the second member 44 is shown in the shape of cylinder instead of a shape with planar parallel sidewalls. However, applicant has not disclosed that providing this type of shape is for any particular reason or solves any particular problem. As such, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the second member in a shape with planar parallel sidewalls, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the second member. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,144 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

11. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cadnum for the same reason noted immediately above.

## Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed Jan 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - a. Applicant argues that cited prior art fails to disclose a repair device as defined in claim 1. That argument fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because it amounts to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
  - b. In response to applicant's argument that the repair device in the claims is novel because it is intended to be used with a shell and hub, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,144

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Cyr discloses in col. 3, lines 50-53 that an article like instant second member 72 can

be made cylindrical or with planar parallel surfaces without any effect on its ability to perform its

intended function.

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

15. This application contains claims 7, 11, 12 & 33-38 drawn to an invention/species nonelected

with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected

claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/662,144

Art Unit: 3679

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Binda

MugBut

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 3679